



Whitburn Church of England Academy

Non-Examined Assessment (NEA) Policy

Preface

The Academy Vision and Values can be found here:

<https://www.whitburncofeacademy.org/about-us/academy-ethos.html>

This policy and its associated procedures are based on these key principles and values.

Contents

1. Aims
2. Legislation
3. Definition
4. Roles and Responsibilities
5. Task Setting
6. Task Taking
7. Authentication
8. Task Marking
9. Malpractice
10. Enquiries about Results
11. Monitoring
12. Links with other Policies

1. Aims

This policy aims to:

- Cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- Define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- Manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

2. Legislation

The Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) requires each exam centre to have a non-examination assessment policy. This is outlined in the [JCQ's instructions for conducting non-examination assessments](#), which we refer to when carrying out non-examination assessments in our school.

This policy also takes into account the [JCQ's guidance on post-results services](#) and [general regulations for approved centres](#).

3. Definition

The JCQ explains that non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers. There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. The rules often vary across subjects. The stages are task setting, task taking and task marking.

4. Roles and Responsibilities

This section sets out the key responsibilities of staff in relation to non-examination assessments. For more detailed guidance on the requirements for conducting non-examination assessments, staff should read the JCQ guidance referred to above.

4.1 Head of Centre

The Head of Centre (Principal/Acting Principal) is responsible for:

- Ensuring that the centre's non-examination assessment policy is fit for purpose.
- Ensuring that non-examination assessments comply with JCQ guidance and awarding body subject-specific instructions.
- Ensuring that [JCQ's information for candidates](#) is made available to all candidates prior to assessments taking place.
- Ensuring the centre's internal appeals procedure clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against an internal assessment decision, and that details of this procedure are communicated and made widely available and accessible.

- Drawing to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers the centre's complaints procedure, for general complaints about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification.

4.2 Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates.
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by Subject Teachers in line with awarding body criteria.
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by Subject Teachers.
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates.
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

4.3 Senior Leaders

Senior leaders are responsible for:

- Ensuring that non-examination assessments comply with JCQ guidance and awarding body subject-specific instructions.

4.4 Subject Leaders

Subject Leaders are responsible for:

- Familiarising themselves with JCQ instructions for conducting non-examination assessment.
- Understanding and complying with specific instructions relating to non-examination assessment for the relevant awarding body.
- Ensuring that individual teachers understand their responsibilities with regard to non-examination assessment.
- Ensuring that teachers use the correct task for the year of submission and take care to distinguish between tasks and requirements for legacy and new specifications, where relevant.
- Obtaining confidential materials/tasks set by awarding bodies in sufficient time to prepare for the assessment(s), where relevant, and ensuring that such materials are stored securely at all times.

- Undertaking appropriate departmental standardisation of non-examination assessments.

4.5 Teachers

Teachers are responsible for:

- Understanding and complying with JCQ instructions for conducting non-examination assessment.
- Understanding and complying with the awarding body's specification, where provided, for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website.
- Marking internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body.

4.6 Exams Officer

The Principal Exams Officer is responsible for:

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication NEA to relevant centre staff.
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration / management of non-examination assessment.

4.7 Special Educational Needs Co-ordinator (SENCO)

The SENCO is responsible for:

- Ensuring that all relevant staff are aware of any access arrangements that need to be applied.

5 Task Setting

Where the centre is responsible for task setting, in accordance with specific awarding body guidelines, Subject Leaders will:

- Select from non-examination assessment tasks provided by the awarding body, or
- Design their own tasks, in conjunction with candidates where permitted, using criteria set out in the specification.
- Teachers will ensure that candidates understand the assessment criteria for any given assessment task.

6 Issuing of Tasks

Subject Leaders will:

- Determine when set tasks are issued by the awarding body.
- Identify date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates.
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times.
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between.

7 Task Taking

Where appropriate to the component being assessed, the following arrangements apply unless the awarding body's specification says otherwise.

7.1 Supervision

- Invigilators are not required.
- Centres are not required to display the JCQ 'no mobile phone' poster or JCQ 'warning to candidates'.
- Candidates do not need to be directly supervised at all times.
- The use of resources, including the internet, is not tightly prescribed, but teachers will always check the subject-specific requirements issued by the awarding body.

Teachers will ensure that:

- There is sufficient supervision of every candidate to enable work to be authenticated.
- The work that an individual candidate submits for assessment is his/her own.
- Work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision provided that the centre is confident that the work produced is the candidate's own.
- Where candidates work in groups, the teacher will keep a record of each candidate's contribution.
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents [Information for candidates - non-examination assessments](#) and [Information for candidates - social media](#)

The Teacher will also:

- Ensure that candidates understand the need to reference work.
- Give guidance on how to do this, and
- Ensure that candidates are aware that they must not plagiarise other material.

7.2 Advice and Feedback

- Teachers will not provide model answers or writing frames specific to the task (such as outlines, paragraph headings or section headings).
- Unless specifically prohibited by the awarding body's specification, teachers may:
 - Review candidates' work and provide oral and written advice at a general level.
 - Having provided advice at a general level, allow candidates to revise and redraft work.
- Any assistance that goes beyond general advice will be recorded and either taken into account when marking the work or submitted to the external examiner.
- When marking work, teachers will use annotations to explain how marks were applied in the context of the additional assistance given.
- Teachers will not provisionally assess work and then allow candidates to revise it.
- Explicitly prohibited assistance will not be given.
- Failure to follow this procedure constitutes malpractice.

7.3 Resources

Where required by the awarding body's specification

- Ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work.
- Signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met.
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later.
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable).

- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs a member of the senior leadership team.
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero.

7.4 Group Work

- Unless the specification says otherwise, candidates are free to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work.
- Where it is permitted, some assignments may be undertaken as part of a group.
- Where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate will write up his/her own account of the assignment. Individual contributions will be clearly identified
- Group assessment is not permitted.

7.5 Presentation of work

Subject Teachers will:

- Obtain informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution.
- Instruct candidates to present work as detailed in NEA unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions.
- Instruct candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work.
- Ensure if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements.

8. Keeping materials secure

Subject Teachers

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session).
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored • Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA.
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking.

- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted.
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series.
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed.
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates of the contents of the JCQ document Information for candidates – Social Media).
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back-up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions.
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required.

IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically.
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software.
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up-to-date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained.
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

9 Authentication

Teachers will be sufficiently familiar with the candidate's general standard to judge whether the piece of work submitted is within his/her capabilities.

Where required by the awarding body's specifications:

- Candidates will sign a declaration to confirm that the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work.

- Teachers will sign a declaration of authentication after the work has been completed confirming that:
- The work is solely that of the candidate concerned.
- The work was completed under the required conditions.
- Signed candidate declarations are kept on file.

If there is concern that malpractice may have occurred or the work is unable to be authenticated, the Senior Leadership will be informed.

10. Task marking – Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject Leader

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations.
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component.

Exams Officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification.
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations.

Submission of work

Subject Leader

- Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner.

Exams Officer

- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent.
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work.

- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series.
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label.
- Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened.
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline.

11. Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and Annotation

Head of Centre

- Ensures where a teacher is teaching, preparing and assessing a candidate with whom they have a close relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) a conflict of interest is declared to the awarding body and the marked work of the child submitted for moderation, whether it is part of the moderation sample or not.

Subject Leaders

- Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline.

Subject Teacher

- Attends awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marketing process.
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body.
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria.
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process.
- Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centres internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request

for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body.

Internal standardisation

Quality Assurance (QA) Lead / Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence.
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. ECTs, supply staff etc.).
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation - for example by:
 - obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course.
 - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking.
 - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period.
 - after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments o making final adjustments to marks prior to submission retaining work and evidence of standardisation.
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out.

Subject Teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking.
- Marks to common standards.
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later.

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject Leader

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline.
- Ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors.

- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline.
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested.
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required.
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body.

Exams Officer

- Ensures that for postal moderation.
- Work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body.
- Moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging.
- Proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results.
- Through the Subject Teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required.
- Through the Subject Teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body.

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject Leaders

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample.
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period.
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place.
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings.

Exams Officer

- Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the Subject Teacher for secure storage and required retention.

External moderation – the process

Subject Leaders

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work.
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work.
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking.

External moderation – feedback

Subject Leaders

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published.
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series.

Exams Officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff.
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration.

12. Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Subject Teacher

- Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments.

Special Educational Needs Coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 24 25 in relation to non-examination assessments.
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and

awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place.

- Makes Subject Teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments.
- Works with Subject Teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met.
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role.

13. Special Consideration and Loss of Work

Subject Teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work.
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments.
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body.

Exams Officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication 'A guide to the special consideration process'.
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale.
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale o Keeps required evidence on file to support the application.
- Refers to/directs relevant staff to 'Form-15_Notification-of-Lost-Centre-Assessed-Work.doc' and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body.

14. Malpractice

Head of Centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, or centre staff.
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body).
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication 'Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures'.
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself.

Subject Teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice.
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments • Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates – non examination assessments.
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates - social media.
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the Head of Centre.

Exams Officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures to the Head of Centre.
- Signposts the JCQ Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to Subject Heads.
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidate's documents.

- Where required, supports the Head of Centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice.

15. Post-results services

Head of Centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication post-Results Services.
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal.

Subject Leader

- Provides relevant support to Subject Teachers making decisions about reviews of results.

Subject Teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available.
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline.
- Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required.

Exams Officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication Post Results Services (Information and guidance to centres).
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information.
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline.
- Collects candidate consent where required.

16. Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of Centre

- Returns an online ‘Head of Centre declaration’ at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement.

Quality Assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments.

Subject Leader

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed.
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by Subject Teachers.
- Ensures Subject Teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria.
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided.

Subject Teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood.
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions.
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria.
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes.
- Follows the awarding body’s instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings.
- Follows the awarding body’s instructions for the submission of grades and recordings.

Monitoring and Review

The Head of Centre is responsible for ensuring that this policy is reviewed and updated annually on the publication of updated JCQ regulations and guidance on access arrangements and instructions for conducting exams. References in this policy to AA and ICE relate to/are directly taken from the ‘Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 24 25’ and ‘Instructions for Conducting Examinations 24 25’ publications.

Examinations – Non-Examined Assessment Policy		
Linked to	Examinations (Internal Appeals Procedure EaR)	
Review Cycle: Annually		
Creation Date	Version	Status
13 th March 2018	1.0	Approved by the Governing Body
Revision Date		
19 th March 2019	2.0	Approved by the Governing Body
24 th March 2020	3.0	Approved by the Governing Body
23 rd March 2021	4.0	Approved by the Governing Body
23 rd March 2022	5.0	Approved by the Governing Body
16 th May 2023	6.0	Approved by the Governing Body
19 th March 2024	7.0	Approved by the Governing Body
18 th March 2025	8.0	Approved by the Governing Body